Elastocapillary Snapping: Capillarity Induces Snap-Through Instabilities in Small Elastic Beams
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We report on the capillarity-induced snapping of elastic beams. We show that a millimeter-sized water drop gently deposited on a thin buckled polymer strip may trigger an elastocapillary snap-through instability. We investigate experimentally and theoretically the statics and dynamics of this phenomenon and we further demonstrate that snapping can act against gravity, or be induced by soap bubbles on centimeter-sized thin metal strips. We argue that this phenomenon is suitable to miniaturization and design a condensation-induced spin-off version of the experiment involving a hydrophilic strip placed in a steam flow.
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Elastic arches and spherical shells can sustain large loads but they all eventually fail through an elastic instability, called snapping or snap-through buckling; see Refs. [1,2] for early studies on the subject. This phenomenon is central to the failure of arches and vaults but has also been exploited to actuate bistable switches or valves [3] with point force [4], electrostatic [5], piezoelectric [6], or vibrational [7] loading. Snapping is also a useful mechanism in the design of responsive surfaces with applications to on-demand drug delivery, optical surface properties modification, or on-command frictional changes [8]. Nature provides examples of practical applications of snapping in prey capturing by carnivorous plants [9], fast ejection of spores [10], or underwater plant suction traps [11]. Similarly, polymersomes [12] or malaria infected blood cells [13] also exhibit snapping events (or fast shell eversion) that promote fast ejection of drug components or parasites. These examples differ in their triggering mechanisms, but they all involve a snapping instability including fast movements and curvature reversals that are a consequence of the sudden release of stored elastic energy and its transfer into kinetic energy.

Here we show how capillary forces may be used to trigger snap-through instabilities: a drop deposited on a thin buckled elastic strip induces snapping, possibly even against gravity, as illustrated in Fig. 1 and the Supplemental Material [14]. Our experiments consist in loading buckled elastic strips with either transverse point forces or water droplets. Initially flat elastic strips of length \( L \) and width \( w \) are carefully cut out of a thin polymer film made of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, Sylgard 184 Elastomer base blended with its curing agent in proportion 10 : 1), spin-coated and cured at 60 °C for two hours. The resulting thickness \( h \) of the samples is quantified with an optical profilometer. The Young’s modulus of our samples, measured using a Shimadzu testing machine, is found to be \( E = 1.50 \pm 0.05 \) MPa, enabling us to evaluate their bending rigidity \( EI = Eh^3/12 \) of...
TABLE I. Length $L$, width $w$, thickness $h$, confinement parameter $\Delta$, elastocapillary length $L_{ec}$ and typical bending dynamics time $T$ for the two experimental setups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setups</th>
<th>$L$ (mm)</th>
<th>$w$ (mm)</th>
<th>$h$ ($\mu$m)</th>
<th>$\Delta/L$</th>
<th>$L_{ec}/L$</th>
<th>$T$ (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the samples is reported in Table I. Video acquisition is carried out with an ultrafast Photron SA-5 camera.

In order to reveal the role of capillarity in snap-through instability, we start with considering a “dry” setup. When confined axially, an initially straight beam buckles and adopts an arched shape; the stronger the confinement the higher the arch. If one now fixes the confinement and adopts an arched shape; the stronger the confinement the confined axially, an initially straight beam buckles and instability, we start with considering a dry setup. In Fig. 2, a comparison is made between experiments and theory. Theoretical bifurcation curves are computed using Kirchhoff equations [18] and experiments are carried on the strip S1 (see Table I) in a setup where the arch height $Y$ is reduced. As we controlled $Y$ instead of the force $F$, configurations in the asymmetric branch are stable and snap-through really only occurs as $F$ reaches zero. We nevertheless keep on referring to the point $F = F^*$ as the snapping threshold. It should be noted that the fixed confinement $\Delta = 0.95L$ is small enough for the precise way with which the vertical loading is applied to be disregarded [16], but large enough for extension effects to be negligible [19].

We now replace the point load with a water drop. Drops of increasing volume are deposited or hung on the same strip (case S1 in Table I). The height of the arch $Y$ is recorded as a function of the total weight $F$ of the drop; see Fig. 3. As the volume of the drop is increased, the height of the arch decreases until a limit is reached where snap-through occurs. We remark that much heavier drops are required to trigger the snap-through instability in the hanging-drop setup as compared to the sitting-drop setup, the dry setup being intermediate. We conclude that only considering the weight of the drop is not enough; i.e., capillary forces have a strong influence on snap-through. As known in shell indentation, the response of elastic structures to external loads strongly depends on whether the

FIG. 2 (color online). Snap-through instability with point force. An elastic strip S1 is clamped at both ends with fixed $\Delta = 0.95L$ and vertical indentation at $x/\Delta = 1/2$ is performed. The bifurcation diagram (theory: blue curve, experiments: filled circles) comprises a symmetric and an asymmetric branch connecting at $F = F^*$ (experimentally measured $F^* = 55 \mu$N). Inset: Evolution of the snapping threshold $F^*$ as a function of the indentation position $x$, evidencing two preferential positions where the threshold is minimal: $x/\Delta = 0.37$ and 0.63.

FIG. 3 (color online). Influence of capillarity on the bifurcation diagram of Fig. 2. Drops of increasing volume are hung below (orange triangles) or deposited above (purple squares) the elastic strip S1, buckled upward with $\Delta = 0.95L$. As the nondimensional drop weight $F_L^2/EI$ increases, the deflection $Y/L$ of the strip midpoint decreases, up to a point where snapping occurs (indicated by the dashed lines on the diagram). For comparison we plot the data of Fig. 2, filled circles, performed on the same S1 strip. For both square and triangle sets, the volume increase between each measure is 0.5 $\mu$l, corresponding to a nondimensional force increase of 2.73. The left (orange) panel shows hanging configurations with, from bottom to top, $V = F/g = 0.5 \mu l$, $3 \mu l$, $5.5 \mu l$, $9 \mu l$, with $\rho = 1000$ kg/m$^3$. The right (purple) panel shows sitting configurations with, from bottom to top, $V = 0.5 \mu l$, $1 \mu l$, $1.5 \mu l$, $2 \mu l$. Note that the present dead loading (squares and triangles) makes the asymmetric branch unstable, as opposed to the rigid loading setup of Fig. 2.
loading is performed through point forces or distributed pressure loads [20]. In our case the water drop applies distributed hydrostatic and Laplace pressures as well as localized meniscus forces; see Fig. 3. The combined action of Laplace and meniscus forces can be seen as two opposite effective bending moments, promoting the eversion of the strip [21] when the drop is located above, and hindering it when located below.

To further investigate relative strengths of capillarity, weight, and elastic forces, we study the following setup: an elastic strip (case S2 in Table I) is buckled downward and a drop is hung at a given location under the strip; see Fig. 4(c). Parameters are the total weight and a drop is hung at a given location under the strip; see Fig. 4(b). For small drops (i.e., small $F$), capillary forces exceed self-weight (a drop deposited under a rigid surface is stable if small enough) but are not powerful enough to overcome elastic forces, mainly because the lever arm of the effective bending moments discussed earlier is not large enough: the wet length is indeed a key factor in determining the behavior of elastocapillary systems [22]. Consequently the system stays in the downward configuration. For moderate drops (with larger wet lengths) we see in Fig. 4(b) that provided the location of the drop is carefully chosen, snapping occurs, resulting in a final state where the strip is bent upward: in this case capillary forces overcome both weight and elastic forces. For large drops capillarity still defeats elasticity but self-weight is too large and the system stays in the downward configuration.

To understand the different regions of the $(x_M, F)$ phase diagram we numerically compute equilibrium and stability of the drop-strip system in the following way. We consider a 2D setting where a liquid drop of given volume is hung under an elastic strip of length $L$, thickness $h$, and bending rigidity $Eh^3/12$. The strip is clamped at both ends which are separated by a fixed distance $\Delta$. We use the arclength $s$ along the strip to parameterize its position $r_s(s) = (x_s(s), y_s(s))$. The unit tangent, $t_s(s) = dr_s(ds)$, makes an angle $\theta_s(s)$ with the horizontal: $t_s = (\cos \theta_s, \sin \theta_s)$. The drop lies between positions $s = s_A$ and $s = s_B$ on the strip, and the shape of the liquid-air interface, parametrized with its own arclength $\sigma$, is $r_\sigma(\sigma) = (x_\sigma(\sigma), y_\sigma(\sigma))$ and has total contour length $\ell$; see Fig. 4(c). The bending energy of the strip and gravity potential energy of the water are

$$E_{\text{bend}} + E_{\text{hydro}} = \frac{Eh^3}{24} \int_0^L \left[ \theta_s'(s)^2 + \rho g \right] dA \quad (1)$$

where $A = \int_0^\sigma y_\sigma(s)x_\sigma(s) ds - \int_{s_A}^{s_B} y_s(s)x_s'(s) ds$ is the area between the strip and the liquid-air interface. The energy per unit area of solid-liquid (respectively, solid-air and liquid-air) interface is noted $\gamma_{\text{ela}}$ (respectively, $\gamma_{\text{sv}}$ and $\gamma$). The total interface energy is then

$$E_{\text{surf}} = (s_B - s_A)\gamma_{\text{ela}} + [L - (s_B - s_A)]\gamma_{\text{sv}} + \gamma \ell. \quad (2)$$

We minimize the total potential energy $U = E_{\text{bend}} + E_{\text{hydro}} + E_{\text{surf}}$ [23] under the constraints of inextensibility $r_s'(s) = l_s$, constant area $A$, and matching conditions $r_s(s_A) = r_\sigma(0)$ and $r_s(s_B) = r_\sigma(\ell)$. This constrained minimization problem is solved by considering the following Lagrangian functional:

$$\mathcal{L}[r_s(s), \theta_s(s), s_A, s_B, r_\sigma(\sigma), \theta_\sigma(\sigma), \ell] = U - \mu \cdot \psi \quad (3)$$

where the vector $\psi$ comprises all the constraints and $\mu$ is the vector of associated Lagrange multipliers; see Ref. [24]. Classical minimization and continuation techniques are used to track equilibrium states along branches in bifurcation diagrams. Note that in this 2D model the effective surface of the drop is not minimal because of its cylindrical shape. To counterbalance this effect we have used a reduced surface tension $\gamma_{\text{model}} = 0.67\gamma$, analogous to the surface correction coefficient introduced in Ref. [25]. In the computations, sliding of the drop is prevented by constraining the mean position $s_M = (s_A + s_B)/2$ and the

---

**FIG. 4** (color online). Phase diagram for elastocapillary snapping: A drop is hung under a strip and the conditions for snapping to occur are investigated. (a) Possible final states of the system. (b) Experimental phase diagram plotted in the $(x_M, F)$ plane. Triangles (respectively, *) correspond to experiments where the drop is deposited on an initially downward (respectively, upward) buckled strip. (c) Model notations. (d) Theoretical phase diagram showing bistable A and monostable B and C regions. Note that here $FL^2/EL$ corresponds to $12\rho AqL^2/Eh^3$. (e) Evolution of the theoretical phase diagram as the surface tension used in the model $\gamma_{\text{model}}$ takes the values $0.38\gamma$, $0.67\gamma$, and $0.96\gamma$ (from left to right).
mean contact angle \((\alpha_h + \alpha_b)/2 = 110^\circ\). Stability of the system is assessed by computing the linearized dynamics about the equilibrium solution. Results are shown in Fig. 4(d) where the theoretical \((x_M, F)\) phase diagram is plotted. The continuous curve, later referred to as the instability curve, corresponds to loss of the stability of an equilibrium configuration. The dashed curve corresponds to the smooth transition from downward buckled states \((y_M < 0)\) to upward buckled states \((y_M > 0)\). These two curves divide the \((x_M, F)\) plane into three regions. In region A, which lies below the instability curve, downward and upward buckled configurations are both found to be stable. As the crossing of the instability curve is associated with the loss of stability of one of the configurations, in the two regions above the instability curve there is only one stable configuration: upward for region B, below the dashed curve, and downward for region C, above the dashed curve. We remark that the shape of the instability curve and hence the topology of the phase diagram is altered by changes in the value of \(f_{\text{model}}\), as shown in Fig. 4(e). These numerical results shed light on experimental findings: in the bistable region A, a drop deposited under a downward buckled strip leads to a downward final state unless the perturbation created during the deposition is too large and the system jumps to an upward final state, whereas in the monostable region B the final state is always an upward configuration. As a cross-check we have experimentally hung drops under upward buckled strips and found that in regions A and B the system stays in the upward configuration, thereby confirming the bistability of region A; see * markers in Fig. 4(b).

We next show that snapping may be induced remotely. The lower side of a PDMS strip is treated with a hydrophilic coating. The strip is then buckled downward and placed in a steam flow. Water droplets nucleate on the hydrophilic side of the strip, coalesce, and eventually induce snapping; see Fig. 5. This phenomenon could be used to build moisture sensors that would snap once induced; see Fig. 5. This phenomenon could be used to build moisture sensors that would snap once.

controlled vertical displacement the instability occurs as the force reaches zero. At this point the beam has an unstable equilibrium shape corresponding to the second buckling mode of the planar elastica. We numerically compute the growth rate to be \(\mu = 24.26/T\) for \(\Delta = 0.95L\) where \(T = L^2\sqrt{\lambda/EI}\) is the typical time of bending dynamics (see Table I) and \(\lambda\) is the mass per length of the beam. As the growth rate weakly depends on the confinement \(\Delta\) (e.g., \(\mu = 24.42/T\) for \(\Delta = 0.9L\); see also Ref. [19]) we use an approximate theoretical prediction \(\tau_{\text{snap}} = T/24\) for dry snapping. Experiments performed with various materials and confinements, e.g., dry setups involving \(L = 0.7\) m metal beams, show that, apart from a deviation at small lengths attributed to viscous effects in the strip, theory agrees nicely with experiments; see Fig. 6. Additional experiments with capillary S1 and S2 setups, but also setups with soap bubbles actuating \(L = 0.25\) m metal foil strips (see the Supplemental Material [26]), show that the snapping time appears to be the same for dry and wet snapping.

In summary we have shown that the snap-through of a beam can be triggered by capillary forces. More precisely a drop deposited under a downward buckled beam can induce a snap-through instability that drives the system to an upward configuration. As in adhesive film separation [27] or in the pull-out of a soft object from a liquid bath [28], the elastic energy stored in the system before the instability is suddenly released in the form of kinetic energy and is mainly “lost.” We nevertheless showed in our setup that part of the energy could be used to lift the liquid drop. We have also shown that the elastocapillary dynamics is mainly driven by elastic forces and that fluid forces and fluid inertia only play a minor role: capillarity is driving the system toward instability but elasticity is ruling the subsequent dynamics. The typical scaling of surface forces makes elastocapillary snapping a good candidate for miniaturization and its use as a microactuator might be
envisaged. In any case the present study is an example of a constructive use of capillarity at small scales.
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[23] Note that the gravity potential energy of the strip is small compared to the other energies and is therefore not listed in the present formulation. It was nevertheless included in the computations and its effect was indeed negligible.