Lattice Boltzmann models for nonideal fluids with arrested phase-separation
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The effects of midrange repulsion in lattice Boltzmann models on the coalescence and/or breakup behavior of single-component, nonideal fluids are investigated. It is found that midrange repulsive interactions allow the formation of spraylike, multidroplet configurations, with droplet size directly related to the strength of the repulsive interaction. The simulations show that just a tiny 10% of midrange repulsive pseudoenergy can boost the surface:volume ratio of the phase-separated fluid by nearly two orders of magnitude. Drawing upon a formal analogy with magnetic Ising systems, a pseudopotential energy is defined, which is found to behave similar to a quasiconserved quantity for most of the time evolution. This offers a useful quantitative indicator of the stability of the various configurations, thus helping the task of their interpretation and classification. The present approach appears to be a promising tool for the computational modeling of complex flow phenomena, such as atomization, spray formation, microemulsions, breakup phenomena, and possibly glassylike systems as well.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the last two decades, the lattice-Boltzmann (LB) approach has emerged as a powerful mesoscopic alternative to classical macroscopic methods for computational hydrodynamics [1–3]. The pseudopotential method, put forward a decade ago by Shan and Chen to endow lattice Boltzmann models with potential energy interactions, is one of the most successful outgrowths of basic LB theory [4,5]. The Shan-Chen (SC) model is based on the idea of representing intermolecular interactions at the mesoscopic scale via a density-dependent nearest-neighbor pseudopotential ϕ(ρ). Despite its simplified character, the SC model provides the essential ingredients of nonideal fluid behavior, namely a nonideal equation of state and surface tension effects at phase interfaces. Due to its remarkable computational simplicity, the SC method is being used for a wide and growing body of complex flows applications, such as multiphase flows in chemical, manufacturing, and geophysical problems.

To date, the overwhelming majority of Shan-Chen applications have been performed within the original formulation, whereby only first-neighbor attractive interactions are included. This entails a number of limitations, primarily the impossibility to tune the surface tension independently of the equation of state. This limitation has been recently lifted by introducing second-neighbor repulsive interactions [6]. Besides offering an independent handle on the surface tension, it has been observed that second-neighbor (midrange) repulsion may disclose an entirely new set of physical regimes, particularly the onset of metastable multidroplet configurations, which would be impossible to obtain with short-range attraction alone. These configurations result from the existence of energy barriers (midrange repulsion) which slow down and/or arrest the dynamics of coarsening and/or phase-separation [7,8]. In this work, we provide a quantitative exploration of the basic mechanisms behind this physically enriched scenario. To this aim, we investigate the structural properties of multidroplet configurations, as well as their energetics, as a function of the main parameters of the model, mainly the strength of the repulsive interactions. Upon progressive switching of this parameter, the system is found to move from a single-droplet phase-separated fluid, to a multidroplet metastable configurations, all the way up to a quasiordered crystal-like structure.

II. STANDARD SHAN-CHEN MODEL

The standard lattice Boltzmann (LB) equation with pseudopotential interaction can be expressed as follows:

\[ f_i(x, t + 1) = f_i(x, t) - \omega (f_i - f_i^{eq}) + F_i(x), \]  

where \( f_i \) is the probability density function of finding a particle at site \( \vec{r} \) at time \( t \), moving along the \( i \)th lattice direction defined by the discrete speeds \( \vec{c}_i \), with \( i = 0, \ldots, b \). The left-hand side of Eq. (1) stands for molecular free streaming, whereas the right-hand side represents the time relaxation (due to collisions) towards local Maxwellian equilibrium. Finally, \( F_i \) represents the total volumetric body force. In particular, we shall use a dynamic mean-field term connected with bulk particle-particle interactions. The macroscopic density \( \rho \) and velocity \( \vec{u} \) are given by [3]
\[ \rho(x, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{b} f_i, \]  
\[ \rho(x, t) \tilde{u}(x, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{b} \tilde{c}_i f_i. \]

The equilibrium distribution function is calculated in order to make the collision operator conserve mass and momentum: a common choice that satisfies the above constraints is the following:

\[ f_{eq}^i = w_i \rho \left( 1 + \frac{1}{c_s^2} c_i \cdot \tilde{u} + \frac{1}{2 c_s^2} (c_i \cdot \tilde{u})^2 - \frac{1}{2 c_s^2} \tilde{u}^2 \right). \]

The \( F_i \) term in Eq. (1) represents the phase interaction,

\[ F_i = -G \psi(x) \sum_{j=0}^{b} w_i \psi(x + c_i) \tilde{c}_j, \]

in which \( \psi(\rho) \) is the local pseudopotential governing the interaction and \( w_i \) are statistical weights which will be defined in the following. The expression of \( \psi(\rho) \) by Shan and Chen is the following:

\[ \psi = \sqrt{\rho_0 (1 - e^{-\rho_0 \rho})}. \]

In this model, phase separation is achieved by imposing a short-range attraction between the light and dense phases. Indeed, such short-range attraction is responsible for the growth of density contrasts through a dynamical instability of the interface. In real fluids, such instability is tamed by hard-core repulsion, while in the SC model such hard-core repulsion is not included, for it would impose significant penalty on the time-marching procedure, and is replaced instead by a saturation of the attractive interactions above a given density threshold, \( \rho_0 \). Expanding \( F_i \), Eq. (5), in terms of \( \tilde{c}_j \), we find, to fourth order [9],

\[ \tilde{F} = -C_1 c_i^2 G \psi \nabla \psi - C_2 c_i^4 G \psi \nabla^2 \psi, \]

where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are lattice-specific numerical factors. The first term is responsible for the nonideal part of the corresponding equation of state:

\[ P = \rho c_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} C_1 c_i^2 G \rho \psi^2. \]

The second term in Eq. (7) is the inherent surface tension in the SC model which yields

\[ \gamma = -\frac{C_2 G}{2} c_i^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \partial_x \psi \right|^2 dy. \]

Considering the interface-equilibrium condition, \( \frac{1}{c_s^2} \tilde{u} = \frac{1}{c_s^2} \tilde{u} \), \( \gamma = 0 \), we find the critical condition for phase separation, \( G < G_c = -4.0, \rho_{c, r} = \rho_0 \ln 2. \)

### III. SHORT- AND MIDRANGE INTERACTIONS

Our model is based on the interaction between each particle and a set of 24 surrounding neighbors, distributed over two Brillouin zones (belts for simplicity). The interaction force in Eq. (1) reads as follows:

\[ \tilde{F}(x) = \sum_{k=x,m} G_k \psi(\rho, x) \sum_{i=1}^{b_k} p_{ki} \tilde{c}_i \psi(\rho, x + \tilde{c}_i), \]

where the index \( k = x, m \) labels the short- and midrange belts, respectively, whereas \( \tilde{c}_i \) denotes the \( i \)th set of discrete speeds belonging to the \( k \)th belt. The pseudopotential force consists of two separate components \( \tilde{F}(x, t) = \tilde{F}_1(x, t) + \tilde{F}_m(x, t) \), defined as follows:

\[ \tilde{F}_1(x, t) = G_1 \psi(\tilde{x}, t) \sum_{i=1}^{b_1} w_i \psi(\tilde{x}_i, t) \tilde{c}_i \Delta t \]

\[ + G_2 \psi(\tilde{x}, t) \sum_{i=1}^{b_2} w_i \psi(\tilde{x}_i, t) \tilde{c}_i \Delta t, \]

\[ \tilde{F}_m(x, t) = G_2 \psi(\tilde{x}, t) \sum_{i=1}^{b_2} p_{mi} \psi(\tilde{x}_i, t) \tilde{c}_i \Delta t. \]

In the above, \( w_i \) are the weights of the first belt of neighbors, the same as in the standard SC model; the indices \( k = x, m \) refer to the first and second Brillouin belts in the lattice, and \( \tilde{c}_i, p_{ki} \) are the corresponding discrete speeds and associated weights, reported in Table I. Finally, \( \tilde{x}_i = \tilde{x} + \tilde{c}_i \Delta t \) are the displacements along the \( i \)th direction in the \( k \)th belt.

Note that \( G \) is a measure of potential to thermal energy ratio, and positive (negative) \( G \) correspond to repulsion (attraction), respectively. The first belt is discretized with nine speeds (\( b_1 = 8 \)), while the second with 16 (\( b_2 = 16 \)) (see Fig. 1) and the weights are chosen in such a way as to fulfill the following normalizations [10,11]:

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{b_1} w_i = \sum_{i=1}^{b_2} p_{mi} = 1 \]

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{b_1} w_i c_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{b_2} p_{mi} c_i^2 = c_s^2, \]

where \( c_s^2 = 1/3 \) is the lattice sound speed. Note that the present set of discrete speeds and weights secures eight order isotropy in the force evaluation. The pseudopotential \( \tilde{F}(x) \) is taken in the form first suggested by Shan and Chen [4], \( \tilde{F}(\rho) = \sqrt{\rho_0 (1 - e^{-\rho_0 \rho})} \), where \( \rho_0 \) marks the density value (critical) at which nonideal effects come into play and it is fixed.
to $\rho_0=1$ in lattice units. Taylor expansion of Eq. (11) to second order delivers the following nonlinear equation of state (EOS):

$$p = P/c_s^2 = \rho + \frac{(g_1 + g_2)}{2} \psi^2(\tilde{x},t),$$

(14)

where $g_k = G_k/c_s^2$ are normalized coupling strengths. Further expansion of Eq. (11) to fourth order provides the following expression for the surface tension:

$$\gamma = -\frac{\left(G_1 + \frac{12}{7} G_2\right)}{2} c_s^4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} | \partial_y \psi |^2 dy,$$

(15)

where $y$ runs across the phase interface. This is the analog of Eq. (9), with the correspondence $C_1 G \rightarrow (G_1 + G_2)$ and $C_2 G \leftrightarrow (G_1 + \frac{12}{7} G_2)$.

### IV. Numerical Results

With two parameters at our disposal, $G_1$ and $G_2$, the present model allows a separate control of the equation of state and surface tension, respectively. In particular, as shown in previous work [12], the nonideal part of the equation of state depends only on $A_1 = G_1 + G_2$, whereas surface tension effects are controlled by the combination $G_1 + \frac{12}{7} G_2$. Since in the vicinity of $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ higher-order terms come into play, it proves expedient to define a new coefficient

$$A_2 = G_1 + \lambda G_2,$$

(16)

where the numerical factor $\lambda$ plays the role of a renormalization parameter, whose departure from zero-order value $\frac{12}{7}$ is a measure of the influence of the higher-order terms. Comparison with numerical results shows that $\lambda = 3/2$ provides satisfactory agreement, see Eq. (18). This shows that, at a given value of $A_1$ (i.e., given density ratio between the light and dense phase), midrange repulsion ($G_2 > 0$) is expected to lower the surface tension of the fluid, thereby facilitating the formation of multidroplet configurations with higher surface-volume ratios than the standard Shan-Chen model. Thus, the midrange potential is expected to act as "surfactant" [13–15], where "surfactants" indicate that true surfactants can be transported by many different mechanisms, locally changing the surface tension of the fluid, which is not what the midrange repulsion in the present work does. Numerically, the role of the midrange is to add higher-order derivative to standard interaction force, which provides more isotropy and enables control of the equilibrium surface tension. In order to explore this scenario, we have simulated droplet formation by integrating the LB Equation (1), (LBE) in a two-dimensional (2D) lattice using the nine-speed 2D9 model [16–18], out of a noisy density background ($\delta \rho/\rho \sim 0.01$) with initial density $\rho_n = \rho_0 \ln 2 + \delta \rho$ in a periodic domain. In all simulations, $\tau = 1$. We have performed a systematic scan over the force strength, by changing $G_1$ and $G_2$ so as to keep $A_1 = -4.9$ while increasing $A_2$ above the Shan-Chen value $A_2 = A_1 = -4.9$. All simulations have been performed with a resolution of 512$^2$ grid points, and a total simulation time $t = 500,000$.

In Fig. 2, some snapshots of the density at final time are shown for different values of $A_2$. In Fig. 3, it is shown the number of droplets, at the end of the simulation, as a function of $A_2$. The simulations show a threshold in phase-separation as $G_2$ increases towards a critical value, $A_2 > A_{2c}$, the density field exhibits numerous stable droplets, distributed according to a quasiordered configuration, somewhat reminiscent of a crystal-like configuration with defects. The numerical value of $A_{2c}$ can be roughly estimated by noting that, to fourth order in the lattice spacing, the total force due to intermolecular interactions, $F_{tot} = F_s + F_m$, is given by

$$F_{tot} = -\left( c_s^2 A_1 \psi \nabla \psi + \frac{A_2 c_s^4}{2} \psi \nabla \Delta \psi \right),$$

(17)

where, as previously mentioned, $A_1 = G_1 + G_2$ controls the magnitude of the phase separation (liquid to gas density) and $A_2 = G_1 + \lambda G_2$ is directly linked to the surface tension. A dimensional argument gives $l^2 = \frac{c_s^2 A_2}{2 A_1} = \frac{A_2}{6 A_1}$, thus yielding

$$l \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \sqrt{\frac{A_2}{A_1}},$$

(18)

that is, $\frac{l}{l_1} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{G_2}{2|G_{eff}|}}$, with $\lambda = 3/2$ has been used in the rightmost expression. In the above, $l$ is the typical size of a nucleus and $l_1$ is the typical single-droplet size for the Shan-Chen case. With this choice of $\lambda$, the resulting spinodal value, at which $l \rightarrow 0$, turns out to be $G_{2c} = 9.8$, corresponding to $A_{2c} = 0.0$. For this value, the coefficient in front of the second term in Eq. (17) vanishes, thus signaling the onset of a phase-transition. This value is found to be in good agreement with the numerical simulations, which indicate complete nucleation starting around a value of $A_2 = 0$, as shown in Fig. 3. The number of droplets in the first region, called the multidroplet region, can
be described as a function of time as \( n(t) = (\xi-1)^{-p(t)} \), with \( p(t) = \frac{t - 10 L^3}{\tau_{cyp}} \), where \( \xi = G_2 / G_2c \) and \( \tau_{cyp} = \frac{\mu}{\gamma^2} \). This relation can be related to simple statistical physics arguments [12]. The region after the transition, where nucleation takes place, has been fitted by a simple linear function \( n(\xi) = a \xi \), where \( a = 10^4 \). It is worth mentioning that the same linear behavior in the emulsion region is also observed for a coarser domain [12]. However, the coefficient \( a \) is not universal, as it depends on the domain size. This may be related to the breakdown of scale invariance of phase-separating fluids as observed in [19].

It is instructive to inspect the spatial distribution of the phase-separated fluid as the \( A_2 \) parameter is increased. In the present model, as well as in the standard Shan-Chen, phase separation starts immediately and spontaneously, once the parameters are chosen in the critical range: in the Shan-Chen model and in the two-belt model (with \( A_2 \) below the critical value), small droplets coalesce in larger droplets of increas-
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FIG. 3. Number of droplets versus \( A_2 \) after a short time \( t=10,000 \) and final time \( t=5 \times 10^5 \). The vertical line denotes the transition zone from the multidroplet to the emulsion region, in correspondence with the theoretical spinodal point \( A_{2s}=0 \). The standard SC single-droplet region is associated with \( A_2=-4.9 \) (\( G_2=0 \)). For \( 0<G_2<G_2^* \), metastable multidroplet configurations are found, which tend, nevertheless, to the single-droplet equilibrium configuration after a sufficient long time. For \( A_2>0 \), the relaxation time associated to the decay to this equilibrium state becomes formally infinite (no changes in time for all observables), indicating that the nonequilibrium phenomena that sustain these metastable states experience very slow dynamics. The solid lines represent two different fits for the two regions, the multidroplet and the emulsion one. Respectively, they are given by \( n(t)=(1-\xi^a)^{1/10} \), with \( p(t)=\frac{2}{1+10\xi^{100}} \), and \( \xi=G_2/G_2^* \); \( n(\xi)=a\xi \) with \( a=10,000 \).

The density field has been conducted, based on the structure factor \( S(k,t) \),

\[
S(k,t) = \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_x [\rho(x,t) - \bar{\rho}(t)] e^{i k \cdot x} \right|^2 ,
\]

where \( \mathbf{k}=(\frac{2\pi}{L})(l,m) \), \( \mathbf{x} \) is the lattice point, \( L \) is the linear lattice size (equal to 512 in our case), \( N=L^2 \) is the total number of grid points, \( \rho(x,t) \) is the density field at time \( t \), and \( \bar{\rho}(t) \) is the average density field at time \( t \). It is possible to average the structure factor in \( \mathbf{k} \) space, as follows: \( \langle S(k,t) \rangle = \frac{\sum \bar{S}^2(k)}{\sum \bar{S}^2} \), where the sum is over a circular shell defined by \( (n-1/2) \leq |\mathbf{k}|/2\pi < (n+1/2) \).

This first moment of the circularly averaged structure factor can then be used to assess the characteristic length scale of the droplet, \( R(t)=2\pi/\bar{k}(t) \), where

\[
\bar{k}(t) = \frac{\sum_k k S(k,t)}{\sum_k S(k,t)} ,
\]

Note that \( k=1 \) means \( R=\frac{L}{2} \). The right columns in Figs. 2, 4, and 5 show the time evolution of length scales for various \( (A_1,A_2) \). As is well visible from the figures, after 500,000 time steps, all configurations have settled down to their steady state, except the configuration with \( A_2=A_{2s} \), the typical droplet size being a decreasing function of \( A_2 \). It is interesting to notice the growth of macroscopic islands, cutting across the entire computational domain, in the emulsion region. This is reflected by a significant buildup of the low-\( k \) region of the spectrum, yet another signature of a phase-transition behavior. In Fig. 6, we show the evolution in time of the typical radius of some configurations. The radius is calculated from the circularly averaged structure factor, as described by Eq. (20). For the standard Shan-Chen case, the radius grows until the maximal value of \( R \sim L/4 \), corresponding to a single droplet. In this case, the domains grow.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Spatial distribution of the fluid density for the spray-emulsion configuration. The crystal-like ordered structure of droplets is evident in (b), where most of droplets are organized into six-neighborhood structures. \( A_2=-4.9 \) in all cases. (a) \( A_2=0.65 \), \( nx=ny=512 \), \( t=500,000 \). (b) \( A_2=1.15 \), \( nx=ny=512 \), \( t=500,000 \). The spectrum of density fluctuations shows a sharp peak corresponding to the typical size of the droplet. For the case (a) we obtain a typical radius of \( R \sim 8 \), whereas in the second and more ordered configuration, \( R \sim 6.5 \).
according to a subdiffusive power-law $R(t) \sim (t-t_0)^{1/2}$, with a growth exponent $\alpha=1/3$. In the other cases, after the transition to the emulsion region, the asymptotic radius attains a much smaller value. For $A_2=0.15$, the radius still grows, although more slowly, with a growth exponent $\alpha=1/6$, indicating that this metastable state will reach the asymptotic single-droplet state in a very long, but finite time. On the contrary, for the other two cases in the emulsion region, the radius does not show any appreciable change over the entire simulation time span. These states appear completely frozen and do not show any visible dynamics towards a more stable state.

V. PSEUDOPOTENTIAL ENERGY EVOLUTION

The pseudopotential LB models bears a formal resemblance to dynamic mean-field Ising formulations of magnetic systems. Of course, a major difference with respect to Ising systems is that our fluid model is clearly not a Hamiltonian one. It is nonetheless of interest to define a pseudopotential energy, $E(t)=E_s(t)+E_m(t)$, where

$$E_s(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y} \phi(x,y;t) \sum_{i=0}^{b_1} (G_1 w_i + G_2 p_{oi}) \phi(i x_i; t),$$

(21)

$$E_m(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y} \phi(x,y;t) \sum_{i=0}^{b_2} G_2 p_{oi} \phi(i x_i; t)$$

(22)

are the contributions from the first and second belts, respectively. This definition, suggested by a direct analogy with the...
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the emulsion region to be always positive, as it should be. Furthermore, it is possible to states which become increasingly excited with increasing above scales, the two plots in the SC case would almost coincide, while the next order density approximation belt coupling Ising Hamiltonian discretely with a discrete spectrum of excited states triggered by increasing sense, the standard SC configuration may represent a ground state \( A^2 = 0 \) being the lowest-energy phase-separated configuration. In that, the standard SC configuration may represent a ground state with a discrete spectrum of excited states triggered by increasing discretely \( A^2 \). The figure shows the importance of repulsive midrange interaction in the emulsion region. This interaction is responsible for arresting the droplet coalescence sustained by short-range interaction, thereby promoting increased order in the geometrical distribution of the droplets.

\[
E_{\text{surf}} = \frac{A_1^2}{2} \sum_{x,y} (\nabla \phi(x,y))^2, \tag{24}
\]

where we used the normalizations in Eqs. (12) and (13).

In Fig. 7, the ratio of the global pseudoenergy to the thermal energy \( E_{\text{th}} = p c_v^2 L^2 \) is shown as a function of time for increasing values of the second-belt coupling \( A_2 \). The figure shows that the steady-state value of the pseudoenergy is a monotonically increasing function of \( A_2 \), the standard SC case \( A_2 = 0 \) being the lowest-energy phase-separated configuration. The initial rise of the global energy reflects the buildup of surface energy due to interface formation. Once such short transient is settled down, the pseudoenergy remains pretty constant in time. Since the “thermal energy” \( E_{\text{th}} \) is strictly conserved in time, the total pseudoenergy, thermal plus potential, may indeed be paralleled to a true conserved quantity (Hamiltonian) for most of the time evolution of the system, except a very short initial transient.

In Fig. 8, the time evolution of the ratio of first-belt to second-belt pseudoenergy, for increasing values of the parameter \( A_2 \), is shown. Here again, after a very short transient, the ratio settles down to a constant value, which is an increasing function of \( A_2 \). It should be noted that in all cases the ratio is less than 10%. Yet, the effect on the surface:volume ratio of the fluid configuration is a very sizable one, as we shall discuss shortly. The ratio between interfacial and bulk components can be estimated as \( E_{\text{surf}} / E_{\text{bulk}} \sim \frac{A_1^2 A_2}{V^2} \), \( \delta \) being the width of interface, \( A \) the interfacial area and \( V = L^2 \) the volume of the simulation box. We have checked that the total volume of the liquid phase is the same as in the standard SC case, whereas the interfacial area grows roughly with the scaling relation area:volume \( \sim n^{1/2} \), \( n \) being the number of droplets. This is simply explained in term of mass

FIG. 7. (a) Time evolution of total pseudoenergy \( E(t) \) in units of the thermal energy \( E_{\text{th}} = p c_v^2 L^2 \) for increasing value of the second-belt coupling \( A_2 \). The steady-state value of the pseudoenergy is a monotonically increasing function of \( A_2 \), the standard SC case \( A_2 = 0 \) being the lowest-energy phase-separated configuration. In that sense, the standard SC configuration may represent a ground state with a discrete spectrum of excited states triggered by increasing discretely \( A_2 \). (b) Time evolution of \( E_{\text{surf}} \) and \( E_{\text{bulk}} \), Eqs. (20) and (22). The surface energy, given by the difference \( E_{\text{surf}} - E_{\text{bulk}} \) is found to be always positive, as it should be. Furthermore, it is possible to see that the surface contribution increases from the multidroplet to the emulsion region (see Fig. 3), consistently with the picture of states which become increasingly excited with increasing \( A_2 \). In the above scales, the two plots in the SC case would almost coincide, since the surface contribution is a mere \(-0.001\), instead of \(-6\%\) for the emulsion case.

Ising Hamiltonian \( H(x) = \sum_{x,y} A_1 \phi(x)J(x,y)\phi(y) \phi(x) \), is also in line with the expression of the forces, Eq. (10).

By expanding \( \phi(x) \) in powers of \( c_1 \), to zero order (local-density approximation), we obtain the bulk contribution

\[
E_{\text{bulk}} = \frac{A_1}{2} \sum_{x,y} \phi^2(x,y), \tag{23}
\]

while the next order (weak-gradient approximation) delivers a surface term

\[
E_{\text{surf}} = \frac{A_1^2}{2} \sum_{x,y} (\nabla \phi(x,y))^2, \tag{24}
\]

where we used the normalizations in Eqs. (12) and (13).
in line with the actual surface energy, as shown in Fig. 7. This is seen to go from roughly $5 \times 10^{-3}$ of the volume for the SC configuration, up to 0.19 of the volume for the emulsionlike configuration, thus showing a factor 40 boost in surface:volume ratio, even though the “potential” energy in the second shell is just 10% of the energy in the first shell, as shown in Fig. 8. Such a dramatic boost shows that indeed a tiny amount of midrange repulsion can cause dramatic effects on the macroscopic fluid configuration. From this time evolution, it is possible to extract a rough estimate of the equilibrium relaxation time of the system, namely the time necessary to relax to the minimum “free-energy state” (single droplet). For the standard Shan-Chen model, this time has been measured to be $t_{sc} \approx 10^3$.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Summarizing, the effects of midrange repulsion in lattice-Boltzmann models of single-component, nonideal fluids are investigated. The simulations show that midrange repulsive interactions promote the formation of spraylike, multidroplet configurations, with droplet size directly related to the strength of the repulsive interaction. Our results indicate that a small amount of midrange repulsion can dramatically increase the surface:volume ratio of the multiphase fluid.

The present approach should offer a useful tool for the computational modeling of complex flow phenomena, such as atomization, spray formation, microemulsions, breakup phenomena, and possibly glassylike systems as well [21].
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